1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	JAY GREER,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C14-5657 BHS-JRC
12	v.	ORDER
13 14	PATRICK GLEBE, LYLE MORSE, DENIS HARMON, KEN ERB, ROHRER, STELLA JENNINGS,	
15	Defendants.	
16	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local	
18	Magistrate Judge Rules MJR1, MJR3 and MJR4.	
19	Currently before the Court is plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (see Dkt. 21).	
20	There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The	
21	Court has authority to request that counsel represent a party. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). To	
22	make the request, the Court must find exceptional circumstances. See Wilborn v. Escalderon,	
23	789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); <i>Franklin v. Murphy</i> , 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984);	
24	707 1.20 1320, 1331 (701 CH. 1700), Franklin V. N	am μπy, 145 Γ.2α 1221, 1250 (7th Ch. 1704),

Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires the Court to evaluate both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Plaintiff alleges that defendants placed him in danger by "labeling him a snitch" after plaintiff had stopped a fight between two other inmates and reported the incident (Dkt. 10). Plaintiff has adequately articulated a claim under the Eighth Amendment. While the claim may have merit, it is not possible to determine plaintiff's likelihood of success at this point in the litigation. Defendants have filed an answer (see Dkt. 19). The Court has entered a scheduling order (see Dkt. 20). Plaintiff indicates he is having trouble getting discovery, but he does not show that he has served any discovery on defendants (see Dkt. 21). Plaintiff must follow the Fed. R. Civ. P. Rules 27 through 37 in order to obtain discovery. Because plaintiff has demonstrated his ability to articulate his claims and because there are no exceptional circumstances compelling the Court to appoint counsel at this time, the Court denies plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel without prejudice. Dated this 20th day of April, 2015. 18 J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge 20

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24